

REMARKS

The following remarks relate to claims 54 and 55 which are added by this amendment.

Claims 54 and 55 emphasize the storage of repeatedly used phrases along with their access codes for use in requested and other original output documents. These claims teach that all unique document parts identified as having been used repeatedly are allocated a unique storage/access code that is independent of the original output document in which they have been identified and the number of repetitions identified at the time of allocation. Additionally, the codes are stored in storage allocated to a particular client.

Storage of this type is not taught in the references and would have no benefit in any of their systems. This refers to Notenboom, Notenboom2, Crandall or Suzuki.

Referring specifically to the claim language, the method of claim 54 includes reviewing the text of the output documents to identify phrases repeatedly used by that client which are not part of the stored data, and adding the access code to the stored data comprising parts of documents to be generated. The prior art does not show any feature which can reasonably be described by this claim language, nor can it be said to be obvious.

Claim 55 recites generating automatically and uniquely amongst connected client service centers a storage access code uniquely associated with each of such identified document parts and adding the identified document parts each with its uniquely associated storage access code to the stored data comprising

parts of documents to be compiled at each connected service center

Favorable consideration of the unique aspects of the present invention is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Walter C. Farley
Reg. No. 22,624
Attorney for Applicant

P.O. Box 329
Harpswell ME 04079
Fax 207 833 7831
Tel 207 833 6810
wfarley@verizon.net